

2022 UNIT SPECIFICATION

Title:	(Unit 2) Contract Law
Level:	6
Credit Value:	15

Learning outcomes	Assessment criteria	Knowledge, understanding and skills
The learner will:	The learner can:	
Understand the general nature of the law of contract	1.1 Define a contract	1.1 A contract is an agreement giving rise to obligations which are enforced or recognised by law.
	1.2 Explain key characteristics of the nature of contract	 1.2 The social importance of contract; the central position of agreement and its influence upon contract: eg, in formation and in the implying of terms; the absence of the requirement of formality in simple contracts; the formalities required in speciality contracts; judicial attitudes to the resolution of contractual disputes: eg, to certainty, to illegality and to performance and breach; concepts which underpin the subject: eg, privity;

			 how contract differs from other forms of liability, eg, liability in tort and breach of trust.
2. Understand the law on formation of contract	2.1 Explain the law on the fact of agreement2.2 Explain the law on how offers are terminated	2.2	 The law on formation: the requirement of agreement; the factual indicators of agreement – offer and acceptance; distinguishing unilateral from bilateral agreements; distinguishing offer from invitation to treat; distinguishing offers from requests for information); relevant case law: eg, Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Chemists (1952), Partridge v Crittenden (1968), Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Co (1893); where offer and acceptance have not been to the task of finding agreement, eg, Clarke v Dunraven, The Satanita (1895) Butler Machine Tools v Ex-Cell-O Corporation (1979), G Percy Trentham v Archital Luxfer Ltd (1993), RTS Flexible Systems Limited v Molkerei Alois Muller Gmbh (2010) (Supreme Court). An explanation of: acceptance, counteroffer, revocation, passage of time; relevant case law: eg, Hyde v Wrench (1840), Ramsgate Victoria Hotel v Montefiore (1866), Payne v Cave (1789);

2.	plain the rules of communication of offer, ceptance, and revocation	2.3	 counter offer distinguished from request for information (e.g.) Stevenson v McLean (1880). An explanation of the law on communication; relevant case law: eg, Taylor v Laird (1856), Adams v Lindsell (1818), Brinkibon v Stahag Stahl und Stahlwarenhandelsgesellschaft (1983); cross offers; inaccurate communication.
2.	alyse the law on the formation of ntract	2.4	 Analysis of the law of formation: the phenomenon of agreement and its communication: the effectiveness of the use of offer and acceptance as indicators of subjective states of mind; other approaches to finding agreement: the nature and quality of the rules on communication of offer, acceptance, and revocation.
2.	oply the law on the formation of contract a given situation	2.5	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
2.	itically evaluate a given issue or situation identify probable legal implications	2.6	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.

3. Understand the rules determining a party's intent to create legal relations	for 3.1	Explain the law on the intention to create legal relations	3.1	 An explanation of: the law on intention: the presumption in social and domestic situations and how that presumption may be rebutted: relevant case law, eg, Balfour v Balfour (1919), Merritt v Merritt (1970), Simpkins v Pays (1955); the presumption in commercial situations and how that presumption may be rebutted; relevant case law, eg, Rose & Frank v Crompton (1925); Blue v Ashley (2017) the presumption when dealing with public bodies and how the presumption might be rebutted e.g. W v Essex CC (1998).
	3.2	Analyse the law on the intention to create legal relations	3.2	Analysis of the meaning and use of rebuttable presumptions;their application in the context of intention.
	3.3	Apply the law on the intention to create legal relations to a given situation	3.3	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
	3.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	3.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.

4. Understand the doctrine of consideration	4.1 Explain the law of consideration in contract	definition of consideration: see <u>Dunlop v</u> <u>Selfridge</u> (1915) (HL); • rules setting out the limits to consideration: consideration must move from the promisee, but not necessarily to the promissor; • past consideration is no consideration: relevant case law: eg, Re <u>McArdle</u> (1951); performance of an existing duty is not good consideration: relevant case law: eg, <u>Glasbrook Bros v Glamorgan C.C.</u> (1925), <u>Leeds United FC v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire</u> (2012), <u>Stilk v Myrick</u> (1809), <u>Hartley v Ponsonby</u> (1857); • see also <u>Williams v Roffey & Nicholls (Contractors)</u> (1990) and Re <u>Selectmove</u> (1995) and <u>MWB Business Exchange Ltd v Rock Advertising Ltd</u> (2017 CA) (2018 SC) • the rule on part payment of a debt: see the rule <u>Pinnel's Case</u> (1602) and its exceptions: relevant case law: eg, <u>Pinnel's Case</u> (1602), <u>Hirachand Punamchand v Temple</u> (1911);
	4.2 Analyse the law of consideration	promissory estoppel: see <u>Central London</u> <u>Properties Trust v High Trees House</u> (1947) and subsequent relevant case law. 4.2 The purpose and role of consideration including:
		 the status and implications of Williams v Roffey & Nicholls (Contractors) (1990); the doctrine of promissory estoppel; showing awareness of judicial and academic opinion.

	4.3	Apply the law of consideration to a given situation	4.3	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
	4.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	4.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
5. Understand the doctrine of privity of contract	5.1	Explain the law of privity of contract	5.1	 An explanation of the law of privity of contract, including common law exceptions to the rule; the provisions of the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999; relevant case law: eg, <u>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre v Selfridge</u> (1915), <u>Tulk v Moxhay</u> (1848), <u>Beswick v Beswick</u> (1966).
	5.2	Analyse the law of privity of contract	5.2	 Analysis of the purpose and role of the doctrine of privity of contract; judicial attempts to avoid the doctrine; the effectiveness of the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999; judicial and academic opinion on the doctrine.
	5.3	Apply the law of privity of contract to a given situation	5.3	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
	5.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legalimplications	5.3	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.

6. Understand the law governing terms of contract	6.1 Explain the law governing terms of contract	6.1	An explanation of: the law governing contractual terms: representations distinguished from terms: relevant case law eg, Bannerman v White
			(1861), Routledge v McKay, Birch v Paramount
			<u>Estates Ltd</u> (1956);express terms distinguished from implied
			terms;
			 statutory methods of implying terms: ss 12-15 Sale of Goods Act 1979 and relevant case law;
			• ss 2, 9, 10 ,11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 34, 35, 36, 40
			41, 49 and 52 Consumer Rights Act 2015 and relevant case law;
			• terms implied under ss 13-14 Supply of
			Goods and Services Act 1982 and relevant
			case law;
			• terms implied by custom of location or trade
			practice;
			 criteria for implying a term by custom
			common law devices for implying terms -
			terms implied by fact: Marks and Spencer pl
			v BNP Paribas Securities Services Trus
			Company (Jersey) Ltd (2015), Attorney
			General of Belize v. Belize Telecom (2009), and
			subsequent case law – see also the busines
			efficacy test: see The Moorcock (1889);
			• the officious bystander test: see Shirlaw y
			Southern Foundries (1926) Ltd (1939);
			• terms implied by common law (e.g.) (e.g.
			<u>Liverpool CC v Irwin</u> (1976), <u>Equitable Life</u>
			Assurance v Hyman (2002);
			• the status of terms: distinguish conditions
			warranties and innominate terms: see, eg

	6.2	Analyse the law governing terms of contract		Poussard v Spiers & Pond (1876), Bettini v Gye (1876), Hong Kong Fir Shipping v Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha (1962). Analysis and assessment of the rules for distinguishing representation from term; the effect of classification as mere representation or as a term; devices for implying terms; the relationship between express and implied terms; the tests for determining the status of terms as conditions, warranties or innominate terms; judicial and academic opinion.
	6.3	Apply the law governing terms of contract to a given situation	6.3	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
	6.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	6.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
7. Understand the law governing exemption clauses	7.1	Explain the law on exemption clauses	7.1	An explanation of the law on exemption clauses: the common law rules of incorporation and interpretation of exclusion and limitation clauses; • the main provisions of the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977 ss 2, 3, 6,7, 11, 13 and Schedule 2; • their effect upon the validity of exemption clauses; ss 31, 47, 57, 61-69 and Schedule 2

				Part 1 Consumer Rights Act 2015 and their effect on the validity of exemption clauses; • relevant case law: eg, <u>L'Estrange v Graucob</u> (1934), <u>Olley v Marlborough Court Hotel</u> (1949), <u>Spurling v Bradshaw</u> (1956), <u>Chapelton v Barry UDC</u> (1940), <u>Andrews v Singer</u> (1934), <u>White v John Warwick</u> (1953).
	7.2	Analyse the law on exemption clauses	7.2	 The use of exemption and limitation clauses in business; 19th and 20th Century contexts; impact upon those in weaker bargaining positions; effectiveness of judicial intervention and of statutory intervention; awareness of judicial and academic opinion.
	7.3	Apply the law on exemption clauses to a given situation	7.3	Application of the law to a complex scenario.
	7.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	7.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
8. Understand the law of misrepresentation	f 8.1	Explain the law of misrepresentation	8.1	An explanation of the law of misrepresentation: untrue statement of fact (as opposed to statements of law, opinion or intention) made by one party to the other, inducing the other to enter the contract; • requirement of actual and reasonable reliance on the misrepresentation relevant case law on distinguishing fact from opinion, on inducement, and on reasonable reliance;

	effect of repetition of third party statements e.g. Webster v Liddington (2014);
	 distinguishing types of misrepresentation:
	fraudulent, negligent (under the
	Misrepresentation Act 1967) and innocent
	misrepresentation: see ss 2(1) and (2)
	Misrepresentation Act 1967;
	• relevant case law: eg, <u>Howard Marine &</u>
	Dredging Co Ltd v Ogden & Sons (Excavations)
	<u>Ltd</u> (1978);
	 remedies available in respect of innocent,
	negligent, and fraudulent misrepresentation;
	tortious nature of damages in
	misrepresentation.
	 rules of remoteness of damage in mis- representation;
	• relevant case law: eg, Royscot Trust v
	Rogerson (1991), Smith New Court Securities
	v Scrimgeour Vickers (1996).
	, ,
8.2 Analyse the law of misrepresentation	8.2 Analysis of tortious nature of misrepresentation,
	the influence of this upon remedies;
	• the rules of remoteness of damage in
	misrepresentation, and the comparison and
	contrast of them with the rules of remoteness
	of damage in contract;
	 comparison and contrast of damages in misrepresentation and in contract;
	 identification of the tactical advantages in an
	action in negligent misrepresentation and
	comparison and contrast of them with an
	action in contract.

	8.3	Apply the law of misrepresentation to a given situation	8.3	Application to a complex scenario.
	8.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	8.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
9. Understand duress and undue influence	9.1	Explain the law of duress	9.1	An explanation of the law of duress: the common law rules on duress to the person and economic duress and possible remedies; • relevant case law: eg, <u>Barton v Armstrong</u> (1975), <u>R v A.G. for England and Wales</u> (2003), <u>Atlas Express v Kafco</u> (1989), <u>The Atlantic Baron</u> (1979).
	9.2	Explain the law of undue influence	9.2	 An explanation of the equitable rules relating to undue influence; the classifications of undue influence, and their practical implications; remedies; relevant case law: eg, Williams v Bayley (1866), BCCI v Aboody (1990), Barclays Bank v O'Brien (1993), RBS v Etridge (No 2) 2001).
	9.3	Analyse the law of duress	9.3 a	and 9.4
	9.4	Analyse the law of undue influence		 Comparison and contrast of actions in undue influence and duress; analysis of the development of both actions; analysis of the nature of fiduciary relationships required in undue influence.

	9.5	Apply the law of duress to a given situation	9.5 a	and 9.6
	9.6	Apply the law of undue influence to a given situation		Application to a complex scenario.
		Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	9.7	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
10. Understand the law on illegal contracts	10.1	Explain the principles governing illegality	10.1	 In outline only: contracts void by statute; contracts illegal by statute; Contracts void at common law; contracts illegal at common law; consequences of a finding that the contract is illegal or void.
	10.2	Explain the common law on contracts in restraint of trade	10.2	An explanation of the law on restraint of trade: contract prima facie void at common law as contrary to public policy; • exceptions to general rule; • criteria used by the courts to assess 'reasonableness': activity, time, area; • the requirement of an interest that is worthy of protection; • relevant case law: eg, Nordenfelt v Maxim Nordenfelt Co Ltd (1894), Vancouver Malt & Sake Brewing v Vancouver Breweries (1934), M & S Drapers v Reynolds (1956).

	10.3	Analyse the law on illegal contracts	10.3	 Reasons for policy on restraint of trade; historical development; distinguish position in employee contracts from sale of business contracts; analysis of position with regard to 'contracts in gross'.
	10.4	Apply the law on illegal contracts to a given situation	10.4	Application to a complex scenario.
	10.5	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	10.5	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
11. Understand the law on discharge of contract	11.1	Explain the law on discharge of contract	11.1	 An explanation of the law on discharge of contract: identify ways discharge may come about: by performance, by agreement, by breach, and by frustration; breach may also be anticipatory or repudiatory; waiver and accord, subject to existence of agreement and consideration; common law position on frustration; effect of frustration at common law; Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943: the payee rule, the payer rule, and the valuable benefit rule; the use of force majeure clauses; relevant case law: eg, Cutter v Powell (1795), Hoenig v Isaacs (1952), Taylor v Caldwell (1863), Chandler v Webster (1904), Appleby v

	11.2	Analyse the law on discharge of contract	11.2	Myers (1867), Fibrosa Spolka Akcyjna v Fairborn Lawson Combe Barbour Ltd (1943). To include analysis of: reasons for 'strict performance' requirement in contract; consideration of the meaning of strict performance; evolution of discharge by frustration; the payee rule, the payer rule, and the valuable benefit rule.
	11.3	Apply the law on discharge of contract to a given situation	11.3	Application to a complex scenario.
	11.4	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	11.4	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.
12.Understand remedies for breach of contract	12.1	Identify remedies available when a contract has been breached	12.1	
	12.2	Explain the meaning of 'damages'	12.2	Monetary compensation
	12.3	Explain the purpose of unliquidated damages in contract	12.3	To place the innocent party in position s/he would have been had the contract not been breached: see Robinson v Harman (1848)
	12.4	Explain the place of 'nominal damages' in contract	12.4	Claim small (nominal) amount as of right in respect of breach
	12.5	Distinguish 'substantial damages' from 'nominal damages'	12.5	Claim reflects the claimants actual losses

1:	2.6 Explain the law on claiming substantial damages	 12.6 The Claimant needs to show (a) the breach caused the loss, (b) the loss was not too remote, (c) that the innocent party has attempted to mitigate the losses claimed; any relevant case law: eg, Hadley v Baxendale (1854), The Heron II (1969), Transfield Shipping Inc v Mercator Shipping Inc, The Achilleas (2008) (JCPC), C&P Haulage v Middleton (1993), Payzu v Saunders (1919), Pilkington v Wood (1953)
	2.7 Explain heads of damages in contract	 12.7 An explanation of: damages for non-pecuniary loss: loss of enjoyment, inconvenience, distress: relevant case law: eg, Jarvis v Swans Tours Ltd (1973), Farley v Skinner (2001); damages for pecuniary loss: reliance loss, expectation loss, loss of bargain; consequential loss; liquidated damages clauses; penalty clauses; relevant case law: eg, Chaplin v Hicks (1911), Anglia Television v Reed (1972), Watts v Morrow (1991), Ruxley Electronics v Forsyth (1996), Farley v Skinner (No 2) (2002), Cavendish Square Holding BV v Talal El Makdessi; ParkingEye Ltd v Beavis (2015).

2.8 Explain the ren	nedy of repudiation	12.8	 An explanation of repudiation: right of the innocent party to accept repudiatory breach and refuse to perform obligations under the contract; when the right arises; when the right may be lost; the implications of wrongful repudiation; relevant case law
2.9 Explain the re	emedy of rescission	12.9	 An explanation of rescission: order returning parties to their original position; may be lost if restitutio in integrum not possible, the contract has been affirmed, delay, third party rights are prejudiced, or damages judged a better remedy; relevant case law.
.2.10 Explain th performance	e remedy of s	pecific 12.10	 An explanation of specific performance: order by court to defaulting party to carry out obligations under the contract; factors which may lead the court to refuse specific performance; relevant case law.
2.11 Explain the la	on specific performa	12.11	 Equitable nature of remedy; what the claimant must establish in order to obtain an order; reasons applications may be refused; relevant case law: eg, <u>De Francesco v Barnham</u> (1890), <u>Flight vBolland</u> (1828), <u>Posner v Scott-Lewis</u> (1987).

12.12	Explain the remedy of injunction	12.12	Order from the court to carry out a course of action (mandatory) or refrain from doing so (prohibitory).
12.13	Explain the law on the granting of injunctions	12.13	 Equitable nature of the remedy; what the claimant must establish in order to obtain an injunction; bars to granting injunction: giving particular emphasis to contracts in restraint of trade.
12.14	Analyse remedies	12.14	 Comparison and contrast of remedies; assessment of their practical effectiveness in contractual situations; demonstration of understanding of their limitations in commercial and consumer situations.
12.15	Apply the law on remedies to a given situation	12.15	Application to a complex scenario.
12.16	Critically evaluate a given issue or situation to predict probable legal implications	12.16	A reasoned opinion of likely legal implications, including remedies and defences, where appropriate.

Additional information about the unit	
Unit aim(s)	To accredit a broad and detailed understanding of Contract Law
Details of the relationship between the unit and	This unit may provide relevant underpinning knowledge and
relevant national occupational standards (if	understanding towards units of the Legal Advice standards;
appropriate)	specifically, Unit 47 First Line Consumer Legal Advice and Unit
	48 Consumer Legal Advice and Casework
Details of the relationship between the unit and	Na
other standards or curricula (if appropriate)	
Assessment requirements specified by a sector	Na
or regulatory body (if appropriate)	
Endorsement of the unit by a sector or other	Na
appropriate body (if required)	
Location of the unit within the subject/sector	15.5 Law and Legal Services
classification	
Name of the organisation submitting the unit	CILEx (The Chartered Institute of Legal Executives)
Availability for delivery	1 September 2009