

CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS WITH SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSES

JANUARY 2023

LEVEL 6 UNIT 9 - LAND LAW

Note to Candidates and Learning Centre Tutors:

The purpose of the suggested points for responses is to provide candidates and learning centre tutors with guidance as to the key points candidates should have included in their answers to the January 2023 examinations. The suggested points for responses sets out a response that a good (merit/distinction) candidate would have provided. Candidates will have received credit, where applicable, for other points not addressed by the marking scheme.

Candidates and learning centre tutors should review the suggested points for responses in conjunction with the question papers and the Chief Examiners' **comments contained within this report**, which provide feedback on candidate performance in the examination.

CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS

After two sessions which saw an increase in the pass rate, the percentage passing dropped again. This may seem a notable descent from June 2022 but that was an exceptionally high rate. The pass rate in this session remained very much in line with the 2021 and 2020 sessions.

As always, it can only again be reiterated that candidates who answer less than 4 questions are very unlikely to score any more than, at best, a bare pass. Even to achieve a pass, candidates who answer three questions need to average 17 marks – i.e. they must write at least a Merit-level answer for ALL three questions to achieve the most basic pass mark. Again, candidates are much better advised to split their time evenly between four questions (and to do sufficient learning and revision to have the knowledge to do this) than to try and answer three questions well enough.

Regarding areas of law, recent papers have shown a real improvement in knowledge relating to resulting and constructive trusts and this continued here. Inevitably, performance was also strong on adverse possession. Areas where knowledge seemed weaker included easements, over-riding interests and overreaching, leasehold and TOLATA ss14 and 15.

More generally, candidates are reminded that at Level 6 an answer is expected to the actual question asked. Simply noting general points about an area of law will not allow for a pass mark. Answers which are not tailored to the question are unlikely to pick up much credit at Level 6. This



was most notable on question A2. A worrying proportion of answers simply provided an overview of the law of easements, with at best a passing reference to the ouster principle. When a question asks for specific knowledge, that is what candidates should focus on.

CANDIDATE PERFORMANCE FOR EACH QUESTION

Section A

Question 1

This question tested knowledge of different types of trust arising by operation of law. This is an active area of developing law and that has been the main criticism of candidate answers when similar questions on the topic have been asked in section A in the past – a lack of knowledge of the more recent law. While this was still the case to an extent, there was definitely an improvement in this area and this led to some good answers.

Question 2

If Q1 was the question which was the most surprising with the strength of answers, A2 was definitely the question which most surprised with the weakness in answers. A worryingly large proportion of candidates simply disregarded the question for most or even all of the answer, providing a purely general answer on the topic of easements as a whole.

Question 3

This was by some way the least popular question on the paper. This was most likely due to the topic area (mortgages) which is generally not popular with candidates and has in the past been answered poorly, especially in the context of section A.

Question 4

This was a relatively popular question, being answered by more than half of the cohort. The topic (the principles underlying the modern land registration system) is one which can appear deceptively simple. The topic is one where knowledge of "the law" is very much secondary to the ability to discuss and analyse (compared to e.g. A1 or A3 where a descriptive answer could still do relatively well if it showed good knowledge of the case law).

Section B

Question 1

This question attracted the lowest mean mark on the paper, It is suggested that this was mainly, if not entirely, due to the fact that a notable proportion of the 11 candidates who answered this question only focused on one half or the other of the scenario. A good answer needed to consider leasehold covenants in respect of the first half, and the distinction between a lease and a licence for the second half. Failing to address either half inevitably had a major impact on marks, and this occurred in quite a number of papers.



Question 2

This was another question which required knowledge of more than one narrow area of law and was not particularly popular as a result (just under a third of candidates attempted it). When setting this question, the idea was to balance the "tricky" area of formalities for estates in land with the "easier" area of ownership of airspace. The statistics suggest that this was the case, with the vast majority of candidates obtaining at least 5 marks out of 9 on (c), while fewer performed well on (a) and (b). Overall, the question performed as expected.

Question 3

This question was a problem question on adverse possession, which is what the majority of candidates hope for in the exam judging by the persistently high numbers who choose such questions.

Question 4

This was a relatively popular question which focused on the topic of co-ownership. In general, candidates performed better on part (a) than expected; but also performed commensurately less well on part (b), meaning that while the balance between the two parts was not quite as intended, it was immaterial as it still remained a balanced question in relation to the rest of the paper.

SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSE

JANUARY 2023

LEVEL 6 UNIT 9 – LAND LAW

Question	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks
Number		(Max)
1	An answer which consists of reasoned assessment, breaking down the issue into sections and highlighting those of higher importance/relevance. There should be a conclusion which indicates merits and flaws and is supported with evidence where appropriate. Marks should be distributed in the following areas: Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory provisions Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced Relevant new developments A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence Response is appropriately structured	25
	Responses should include:	



	Explanation of legal and equitable title	
	Explanation of concept of implied trusts	
	 Discussion of development of law relating to resulting trust 	
	 Discussion of development of law relating to constructive trust 	
	Distinction between express common intention and implied	
	common intention	
	Law regarding qualification for constructive trust	
	Law regarding quantification of constructive trust	
	Leading cases in the area	
	Responses could include:	
	 Detailed consideration of some or all of above points 	
	Recent case law	
	Sociolegal critiques of the existing system	
	Comparison/overlap with estoppel	
	Proposals for reform	
	Question 1 tot	al:25 marks
2	An answer which consists of reasoned evaluation, offering	25
	opinion/verdict which is supported with evidence.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
	provisions	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant new developments	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Definition of easement	
	Discussion of requirements for establishing valid easement	
	Concept of "ouster principle"	
	Relevant case law on the topic	
	The same of the sa	
	Responses could include:	
	How need for dominant/servient tenement may be in issue in	
	some car parking cases	
	Academic commentary as to case law	
	Further case law, especially recent decisions	
	Question 2 tot	al:25 marks
3(a)	An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue	10
	into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	i -	



Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
provisions	
Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
against being evidenced	
Relevant new developments A reasonad conclusion which is supported with outdones.	
A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
Response is appropriately structured	
Responses should include:	
Definition of a mortgage/ explanation that charge over land	
Explanation of the right to redeem	
Options outside the mortgage	
Postponement of date of redemption	
Relevant case law on the topic	
Responses could include:	
Distinction between right to redeem and equity of redemption	
Idea of "once a mortgage, always a mortgage"	
Further depth on specific fetters	
Further breadth in looking at a wide range of fetters	
Collateral advantages	
3(b) An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue	
into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.	
Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
provisions	
Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
against being evidenced	
Relevant new developments	
A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
Response is appropriately structured	
Despenses should include:	
Responses should include:	
Explanation of right of possession	
Common law jurisdiction to adjourn possession proceedings Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1070	
Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970	
Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970	
 Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970 Relevant case law on the topic 	
 Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970 Relevant case law on the topic Responses could include:	
 Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970 Relevant case law on the topic Responses could include: Right exercisable immediately 	
 Statutory protection under Administration of Justice Act 1970 Relevant case law on the topic Responses could include: Right exercisable immediately Contractual term expressly or impliedly excluding right to 	



3(c)	An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue	7
	into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
	provisions	
	 Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and 	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant new developments	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Discussion of some or all of:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Duty to obtain best price reasonably obtainable	
	 Duty to take reasonable steps when selling 	
	 Whether duty as to time of sale 	
	 Duty to pay surplus to next mortgagee and/or 	
	mortgagor	
	Responses could include:	
	When power of sale will arise	
	When power of sale becomes exercisable	
	Equitable nature of duty of care and good faith	
	Distinction between sale by building society and by other	
	mortgagee	
	Question 3 tot	al:25 marks
4(a)	An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue	15
	into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	 Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory 	
	provisions	
	 Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and 	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant new developments	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	 Explanation of system of registered land in England and Wales, 	
	supported with reference to relevant provisions of the Land	
	Registration Act 2002	
	Explanation of mirror principle, supported with reference to	
	relevant provisions of the Land Registration Act 2002	



	Concept of over-riding interests	
	 Critical analysis of how far principle has been achieved under the current system 	
	 Reasoned conclusion providing an answer to the question 	
	asked.	
	Responses could include:	
	Relevant case law	
	Detailed discussion of particular areas of controversy, e.g.	
	overriding interests, restrictions in register	
	Reference to academic opinion	
4/1.	Reference to Law Commission report 2018	40
4(b)	An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue	10
	into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
	provisions	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant new developments	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Explanation of curtain principle, supported with reference to	
	relevant provisions of the Land Registration Act 2002	
	Concept of over-reaching	
	Critical analysis of how far principle has been achieved under	
	 the current system Reasoned conclusion providing an answer to the question 	
	asked.	
	Responses could include:	
	Relevant case law	
	 Detailed discussion of particular areas of controversy, e.g. 	
	overriding interests, restrictions in register	
	Reference to academic opinion	
	Reference to Law Commission report 2018	
1	<u>'</u>	

Question 4 total: 25 marks



SECTION B

Question	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks
Number	An angular subjet offers odvice board on suidence It should supply	(Max)
1	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	25
	with sound justifications.	
	With Sound Justineations.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	 A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, 	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Concept of leasehold estate in land	
	Enforceability of leasehold covenants	
	Relevant provisions of Landlord and Tenant (Covenants) Act	
	1995	
	 Passage of benefit and burden of covenants on assignment 	
	Release of former tenant on assignment	
	Personal covenants	
	Effect of authorised guarantee agreement	
	Importance of distinction between lease and licence	
	Exclusive possession as a vital feature of lease	
	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Application of law to facts	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	Sham clauses	
	More detailed case law to support discussion	
	Question 1 tot	
2(a)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply	7
	possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	
	with sound justifications.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	 Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and 	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	



	Response is appropriately structured	
	 Response is appropriately structured Responses should include: Requirement for legal lease to be made by deed Requirements for valid deed under S1 Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1989 Application of law to facts Reasoned conclusion Responses could include: Detailed discussion of signature in presence of a witness Detailed discussion of making clear on face of document that document is a deed Relevant case law relating to S1 Recognition that exceptions under s54 Law of Property Act 1925 do not apply Notice for eviction 	
	Periodic tenancies	
2(b)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications. Marks should be distributed in the following areas: Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced Relevant alternatives/options available A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available Response is appropriately structured	9
	Responses should include: Requirement for equitable lease to be created by contract Requirements for valid contract under S2 Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1989 Application of law to facts Reasoned conclusion Responses could include:	
	 Further explanation of how and why equity will enforce a contract under the rule in Walsh v Lonsdale Detailed discussion of single document rule and exchange of correspondence Detailed discussion of signature by both parties Relevant case law relating to S2 	



	Recognition that exceptions under S2(5) LP(MP)A 1989 do not	
	apply	
	Notice for eviction	
	Periodic tenancies	
2(c)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	9
	 Marks should be distributed in the following areas: Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced Relevant alternatives/options available A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available Response is appropriately structured Responses should include: Definition of land Explanation of three-dimensional nature of land ownership Discussion of trespass in the "lower stratum" Relevant case law to support the above 	
	 Application of law to facts Reasoned conclusion Responses could include:	
	Discussion of "upper stratum"	
	Question 2 total	al:25 marks
3	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	25
	 Marks should be distributed in the following areas: Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced Relevant alternatives/options available A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available Response is appropriately structured 	
	Responses should include: Identification of relevant doctrine of adverse possession Discussion of need to show factual possession Discussion of need to show intent to possess	



	Law relating to unregistered land, particularly Limitation Act 1980	
	Law relating to registered land, particularly Land Registration	
	Act 2002 Sch 6	
	Relevant case law to support the above Application of law to facts	
	Application of law to factsReasoned conclusion	
	• Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	Successive possession by different people	
	Effect of objection by paper title holder	
	Question 3 tot	al:25 marks
4(a)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply	12
	possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	
	with sound justifications.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Concept of co-ownership	
	Distinction between legal and equitable title	
	Methods of holding land: joint tenancy and tenancy in common	
	Legal title as joint tenancy	
	 Presumptions of joint tenancy in equitable title 	
	Strong presumption of JT and thus equal 'shares' in domestic	
	relationships, reference to <i>Stack v Dowden</i> etc.	
	Rule of survivorship	
	Severance and effect of severance (may transfer from (b))	
	Homicide as severance	
	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Application of law to facts	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	The "PITT" test	
	Forfeiture Act 1982	
	Further relevant case law	



4(b)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply	13
	possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	
	with sound justifications.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	Likely equitable joint tenancy and reasoning for this	
	Severance and effect of severance (may transfer to (a))	
	Severance by acting upon one's share	
	Severance by notice	
	Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996 S14 and	
	S15	
	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Application of law to facts	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	·	
	Consideration of legal title Paguiroment that legal title holder must be 18	
	Requirement that legal title holder must be 18 The RITT test (may transfer from (a))	
	The PITT test (may transfer from (a)) Soverance by mutual agreement (source of dealing)	
	Severance by mutual agreement/course of dealing Surther relevant associative	
	Further relevant case law	



Question 4 total:25 marks