# CILEX

# CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS WITH SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSES

# JANUARY 2023

## LEVEL 6 UNIT 2 – CONTRACT LAW

#### Note to Candidates and Learning Centre Tutors:

The purpose of the suggested points for responses is to provide candidates and learning centre tutors with guidance as to the key points candidates should have included in their answers to the January 2023 examinations. The suggested points for responses sets out a response that a good (merit/distinction) candidate would have provided. Candidates will have received credit, where applicable, for other points not addressed by the marking scheme.

Candidates and learning centre tutors should review the suggested points for responses in conjunction with the question papers and the Chief Examiners' **comments contained within this report**, which provide feedback on candidate performance in the examination.

## **CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS**

After a concerning drop in the standard of performance as a whole in June 2022, performance in this session returned to closer to the historic standard, although the pass rate was still slightly less than in January 2022.

#### **General skills**

As always, there was considerable diversity of performance across the cohort, so these comments are intended as a guide only, rather than as necessarily applying to all candidates. In general, answers tended to use case law quite well, showing a solid knowledge of most areas. Candidates showed a solid ability to explain more settled areas of law. There was some effort to include analysis and to answer the specific question asked in Section A questions – this was done particularly well in A1(a) where candidates really did try to engage with the specific statement in the question about express words of agreement.

Areas where the majority of candidates could improve are providing equally strong answers across the different sub-parts of multi-part questions; coming to a definite conclusion; and in Section B ensuring that the law is fully applied to the facts, not just stated.



Page 1 of 12

#### Specific areas

Performance was best on the questions relating to agreement; intention to create legal relations; restraint of trade; and the common law provisions governing exclusion clauses.

Performance was weaker on remoteness of damages; privity; consideration and estoppel; and categorisation of terms. It should be particularly noted that answers to B4(a) were very weak, with the vast majority showing almost no familiarity with the law relating to terms implied by fact. Only a minority of candidates were able to identify traditional tests (e.g. business efficacy, officious bystander) while fewer still had any knowledge of more recent decisions in the area.

# CANDIDATE PERFORMANCE FOR EACH QUESTION

#### Section A

## Question 1

This question covered two areas which candidates are always particularly keen to answer – agreement and the intention to create legal relations. It is thus unsurprising that this was, by some way, the most popular question on the paper. Performance was strong too, with the second highest mean on the paper.

# Question 2

This question was more popular than expected, given that the area of undue influence is one which candidates traditionally find difficult.

## **Question 3**

This was by some way the least popular question on the paper. This was most likely due to the topic area (remoteness of damages) which is seen as a complex and difficult area. Sometimes these more niche questions become somewhat self-selecting, leading to good performance from the minority who answer them.

## **Question 4**

Many answers tended to be considerably stronger on either the common law up to 1999, or the 1999 Act examined in part (b). Had this been a single part question, a candidate could more easily have focused their answer on one or other topic – by being asked to separately discuss both, this exposed those who lacked that breadth of knowledge.

#### Section B

## Question 1.

The topic area was one candidates have traditionally done relatively well in answering (consideration and estoppel). Candidates struggled with the practical nature of the question. The



Page 2 of 12

actual legal principles involved are quite simple, but to perform well on the question there needed to be clear application of the law to the facts. Unfortunately, this is something that candidates often struggle with and this was certainly the case here.

# Question 2

Restraint of trade is a very self-contained area – essentially, candidates have either studied it and can answer well, or have not and will not select the question. It also lends itself to a "common sense" approach more easily than e.g. consideration and this would also be a factor in better performance – in particular, weaker answers tend to pick up more marks for speculating about what a court might say than in other areas where this is less predictable.

# Question 3

Here candidates needed to demonstrate knowledge of exclusion clauses. Answers tended to show a good knowledge of the common law principles but much less confidence in using the relevant statute in the area.

## Question 4

Some candidates definitely chose the question based on one part but did not have the knowledge required on the other. Finally, the general standard of knowledge on implied terms was very poor.

# SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSE

## JANUARY 2023

## LEVEL 6 UNIT 2 – CONTRACT LAW

Question Number	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks (Max)
1(a)	An answer which consists of reasoned evaluation, offering opinion/verdict which is supported with evidence.	15
	<ul> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas:</li> <li>Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory provisions</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant new developments</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Responses should include:</li> <li>Identification of importance of agreement to formation of contract</li> </ul>	



	Question 1 tot	al:25 marks
	<ul> <li>Critical discussion as to reason for presumption/ applicability in modern society</li> </ul>	
	Comparison to commercial presumption	
	Responses could include:	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	<ul> <li>Discussion of how presumption can be rebutted</li> <li>Relevant case law</li> </ul>	
	Presumption that no intention in domestic/social context	
	valid contract	
	Requirement for intention to create legal relations as part of a	
	Responses should include:	
	<ul> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Relevant new developments</li><li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence</li></ul>	
	against being evidenced	
	• Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	provisions	
	Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	opinion/verdict which is supported with evidence.	
1(b)	An answer which consists of reasoned evaluation, offering	10
	<ul> <li>Consideration of alternatives to traditional offer and acceptance</li> </ul>	
	Postal acceptance	
	<ul> <li>Acceptance by snence</li> <li>Acceptance by conduct</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Electronic contracting</li><li>Acceptance by silence</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>Contracting on standard terms and the battle of the forms</li> <li>Electronic contracting</li> </ul>	
	Idea of standing offers	
	Comparison of unilateral and bilateral offers	
	Responses could include:	
	<ul> <li>Relevant case law to support above</li> <li>Reasoned conclusion</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Consideration of methods of acceptance</li> <li>Belowant case law to support above</li> </ul>	
	Consideration of types of offers	



Page 4 of 12

Question Number	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks (Max)
2	<ul> <li>An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.</li> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas: <ul> <li>Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory provisions</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant new developments</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responses should include: <ul> <li>Recognition of undue influence as an equitable doctrine</li> <li>Effect of undue influence upon a contract</li> <li>Explanation of requirement for actual undue influence</li> <li>Potential for influence to be evidentially presumed</li> <li>Undue influence and third parties</li> <li>Leading case law, most notably Etridge (No 2)</li> <li>Reasoned conclusion</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responses could include: <ul> <li>Historical development of undue influence</li> <li>Concept of unconscionability</li> <li>Old requirement for manifest disadvantage</li> <li>The "classes" of undue influence</li> <li>Detailed consideration of when party on notice of undue influence</li> <li>Detailed consideration of how party can discharge the burden of notice</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(Max) 25
	Case law post-Etridge     Question 2 tot	al·25 marks
3	<ul> <li>An answer which consists of reasoned analysis, breaking down the issue into sections and using supporting evidence for and against.</li> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas: <ul> <li>Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory provisions</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant new developments</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	25



Page 5 of 12

	issue into sections and highlighting those of higher	
4(b)	An answer which consists of reasoned assessment, breaking down the	10
	<ul> <li>Privity as a means of imposing burdens on third parties</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Judicial criticism of the rule of privity</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Privity as a Victorian invention</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Greater breadth of exceptions</li> <li>Greater depth of analysis of particular exceptions</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Responses could include:</li><li>Greater breadth of exceptions</li></ul>	
	Perpenses could include:	
	<ul> <li>Statutory exceptions pre-1999</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Assignment</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Tort of negligence as alternative cause of action</li> <li>Trust</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Exemption clauses and third parties</li> <li>Tort of negligence as alternative cause of action</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Collateral contract</li> <li>Eventtion clauses and third parties</li> </ul>	
	• Agency	
	Discussion of some of the below:	
	Explanation of basic rule of privity	
	Responses should include:	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence     Bospanse is appropriately structured	
	Relevant new developments	
	against being evidenced	
	<ul> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and</li> </ul>	
	provisions	
	Correct identification of relevant Case Laws and Statutory	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	merits and flaws and is supported with evidence where appropriate.	
	importance/relevance. There should be a conclusion which indicates	
. ,	issue into sections and highlighting those of higher	
4(a)	An answer which consists of reasoned assessment, breaking down the	15
	Comparison with test of remoteness in tort of negligence     Question 3 total	·25 marks
	<ul> <li>Detailed consideration of relevant case law</li> <li>Comparison with test of remoteness in test of negligence</li> </ul>	
	Further detail on above points	
	Responses could include:	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	<ul> <li>Discussion of "second limb" of traditional test</li> <li>Recognition of alternate tests/approaches</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Discussion of "first limb" of traditional test</li> <li>Discussion of "second limb" of traditional test</li> </ul>	
	breach	
	<ul><li>breach</li><li>Traditional approach established in Hadley v Baxendale</li></ul>	



Page 6 of 12

importance/relevance. There should be a	conclusion which indicates
merits and flaws and is supported with evide	ence where appropriate.
<ul> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following</li> <li>Correct identification of relevant Calprovisions</li> <li>Discussion around the above with de against being evidenced</li> </ul>	se Laws and Statutory
Relevant new developments	
<ul> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supp</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul>	
Responses should include:	
Explanation of operation of section	1(1)(a) of 1999 Act
Explanation of operation of section	
Explanation of operation of section	
<ul><li>Explanation of operation of section</li><li>Act as a means of enforcing a benefit</li></ul>	
Responses could include:	
Path to reform	
More detailed discussion of specific section 1	working of subsections in
Other sections of the Act	
Case law on the 1999 Act	
	Question 4 total: 25 marks

# SECTION B

Question Number	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks (Max)
1(a)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	10
	<ul> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas:</li> <li>Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant alternatives/options available</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> <li>Responses should include:</li> <li>Identification of importance of consideration to formation of contract</li> </ul>	



Page 7 of 12

	Issues of consideration in amending contracts	
	Identification of general rule that a lesser sum is no satisfaction	
	for the whole	
	<ul> <li>Discussion of case law on "accepting less"</li> </ul>	
	Recognition that giving up a contractual right can be good	
	consideration	
	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Application of law to facts	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	Recent case authority on the topic	
1(b)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply	10
	possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	
	with sound justifications.	
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	• Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	• A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	<ul> <li>(Law from (a) if not identified there)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Recognition that agreement likely to fail for lack of</li> </ul>	
	consideration	
	<ul> <li>Doctrine of promissory estoppel as equitable alternative</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Requirements for estoppel to arise</li> </ul>	
	Effect of notice to terminate estoppel	
	<ul> <li>Relevant case law to support the above</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Application of law to facts</li> </ul>	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	Potential applicability of "practical benefit" doctrine	
	<ul> <li>Recent case authority on the topic</li> </ul>	
	• Accent case autionty on the topic	
1(c)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply	5
	possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option	
	with sound justifications.	



Page 8 of 12

		[
Mark	s should be distributed in the following areas:	
•	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
•	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
•	Relevant alternatives/options available	
•	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
•	Response is appropriately structured	
Respo	onses should include:	
•		
•		
	consideration	
•	Temporary nature of estoppel	
•		
•	Reasoned conclusion	
•	Reasoned conclusion	
D		
	onses could include:	
•	Potential applicability of "practical benefit" doctrine	
•		
	Question 1 tot	
possil	nswer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply ble alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option sound justifications.	25
Mark	s should be distributed in the following areas:	
•	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and	
	against being evidenced	
•	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
•	Response is appropriately structured	
Respo	onses should include:	
•	Identification of principles relating to restraint of trade	
	Recognition that such clauses are prima facie void	
	Unless protecting legitimate interest	
	And reasonable between the parties and in the public interest	
•	Discussion of low valation to uncertainty of the	
•	Discussion of law relating to reasonableness	
•	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Relevant case law to support the above Application of law to facts	
•	Relevant case law to support the above	
	Relevant case law to support the above Application of law to facts	



Page 9 of 12

	<ul> <li>Recent case authority on the topic</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Severance and the "blue pencil" test</li> </ul>	
	Question 2 total	:25 ma
3	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	25
	<ul> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas:</li> <li>Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Relevant alternatives/options available</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul>	
	Responses should include:	
	<ul> <li>Explanation of concept of exemption clauses</li> <li>Recognition that courts will take wary approach to such clauses</li> <li>Discussion of common law requirement for incorporation</li> <li>Incorporation by signature</li> <li>Incorporation by reasonable notice</li> <li>Rules of interpretation</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Identification of Consumer Rights Act 2015 as relevant statute</li> <li>Explanation of why this is a consumer contract</li> <li>Operation of relevant sections (especially S62-65) of CRA 2015</li> <li>Relevant case law to support the above</li> <li>Application of law to facts</li> <li>Reasoned conclusion</li> </ul>	
	Responses could include:	
	<ul> <li>Recent case authority on the topic</li> <li>Incorporation by previous course of dealing</li> <li>Sch 2 CRA 2015</li> </ul>	
	Sch 2 CRA 2015     Question 3 total	~-



Page 10 of 12

4(a)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	10
	<ul> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas:</li> <li>Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant alternatives/options available</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Concept of implied terms</li> <li>Effect of implying term by fact</li> <li>Usual approach to implying term by fact, that subjective</li> <li>Tests used to decide whether term should be implied</li> <li>Relevant case law to support the above</li> <li>Application of law to facts</li> <li>Reasoned conclusion</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Responses could include:</li><li>Recent case authority on the topic</li></ul>	
4(b)	<ul> <li>An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.</li> <li>Marks should be distributed in the following areas: <ul> <li>Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws</li> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> <li>Relevant alternatives/options available</li> <li>A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence, offering the suggested best option available</li> <li>Response is appropriately structured</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	9
	<ul> <li>Responses should include:</li> <li>Traditional categorisation of terms as warranties and conditions</li> <li>Effect of breach of condition</li> <li>Effect of breach of warranty</li> <li>"Time of the essence" clauses</li> <li>Relevant case law to support the above</li> <li>Application of law to facts</li> <li>Reasoned conclusion</li> </ul>	
L		



	Recent case authority on the topic	
	Concept of innominate terms	
4(c)	An answer which offers advice based on evidence. It should supply possible alternatives and pro's and con's but highlight the best option with sound justifications.	6
	Marks should be distributed in the following areas:	
	Correct identification of relevant Facts and Laws	
	<ul> <li>Discussion around the above with detailed arguments, for and against being evidenced</li> </ul>	
	Relevant alternatives/options available	
	A reasoned conclusion which is supported with evidence,	
	offering the suggested best option available	
	Response is appropriately structured	
	Responses should include:	
	<ul> <li>If not explained in (b), conditions and warranties etc.</li> </ul>	
	Application of law to facts	
	Reasoned conclusion	
	Responses could include:	
	Recent case authority on the topic	
	Concept of innominate terms	



Page 12 of 12