

CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS WITH SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSES

JANUARY 2023

LEVEL 3 UNIT 6 – EMPLOYMENT LAW

Note to Candidates and Learning Centre Tutors:

The purpose of the suggested points for responses is to provide candidates and learning centre tutors with guidance as to the key points candidates should have included in their answers to the January 2023 examinations. The suggested points for responses sets out a response that a good (merit/distinction) candidate would have provided. Candidates will have received credit, where applicable, for other points not addressed by the marking scheme.

Candidates and learning centre tutors should review the suggested points for responses in conjunction with the question papers and the Chief Examiners' **comments contained within this report**, which provide feedback on candidate performance in the examination.

CHIEF EXAMINER COMMENTS

Overall, the performance of the candidates was wide ranging with some clear and detailed answers submitted.

Most candidates were able to provide answers that were detailed and contained the right amount of law and application. In order to achieve higher grades more legal authority could be applied throughout.

CANDIDATE PERFORMANCE FOR EACH QUESTION

Section A

Question 1

This was answered reasonably well.



Question 2

Most candidates were able to identify an implied term but were not always able to explain the terms.

Question 3

This was answered reasonably well. Use of case law to illustrate an answer.

Question 4

This was answered reasonably well, however it is also important that candidates write in full sentences to make to clear as to what they are referring to.

Question 5

Most candidates answered this very well.

Question 6

This was answered reasonably well. It has been noted in previous Chief Examiners reports that there is no right to be unfairly dismissed. There is a right not to be unfairly dismissed if a person meets the preliminary requirements,

Question 7

The responses to this were varied, some candidates were able to identify different relevant situations.

Question 8

Most candidates successfully identified the venue and the time scales to bring a claim.

Question 9

The candidates were asked to identify and explain 2 situations. Some merely identified how a dismissal could occur.

Question 10

This was answered well.



Section B

Scenario 1

Question 1

This question was answered reasonably well. It was acceptable that candidates discussed either capability or misconduct as a potentially fair reason.

Question 2

The response to this question should have outlined the procedure an employee should take with investigating a misconduct case rather than a general discussion about disciplinary procedures.

Question 3

This question was answered well.

Question 4(a)

This was generally answered well, however when applying the law to the facts it is better to use legal language / authority where possible.

4(b)

Generally, most candidates identified some of the remedies available. It is important, however, not to mix compensation for discrimination with compensation for unfair dismissal.

Scenario 2

Question 1(a)

This question was answered well, with most candidates identifying and correctly placing the key points.

1(b)

This question was answered well, with most candidates identifying and correctly placing the key points.

Question 2

This was reasonably well answered, with some candidates discussing whether the illegality directly affected the job role and the possibility of alternative employment.

Question 3(a)

Discrimination claims are often popular and this one was no exception. It was answered generally well with a good use of authority.



3(b)

The were no issues with this question.

Scenario 3

Question 1(a)

Most candidates were able to explain summary dismissal and some also gave valid examples of what could amount to a summary dismissal

Question 1(b)

This was answered reasonably well

Question 2(a)

This was answered reasonably with most candidates recognising the need for a repudiatory breach

Question 2(b)

This was answered reasonably well

Question 2(c)

There was a mixed response to this but most candidates were able to give a reasoned conclusion.

Question 3(a)

Most candidates answered this well

Question 3(b)

Most candidates were able to explain the harassment that had taken place, but few explained what would be taken into account when deciding if harassment had taken place.



SUGGESTED POINTS FOR RESPONSE

JANUARY 2023

LEVEL 3 UNIT 6 – EMPLOYMENT LAW

SECTION A

Question	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks
Number		(Max)
1	Responses should include the dentification and explanation of any two of the following e.g:	2
	 People who work under a contract of employment/service S.230(1) ERA – subsection number required Credit is given for other relevant definitions 	
2	Responses should include the identification and explanation of any two of the following: • The duty of trust and confidence; to treat your employee with	4
	respect • e.g., Ogilvie v Neyrfor-Weir (2003) or	
	 The duty to pay wages must be paid the correct amount or 	
	 The duty to take reasonable care for employee's health and safety e.g., adequate training 	
	or • Duty to provide work in certain circumstances	
	e.g., professional workers or piece work or	
	 The duty covering the content of references there is no duty but if written the reference must be fair and honest 	
3	Responses should include the Identification of any four of the following: • Where the employee has committed a fundamental breach • that goes to the root of the contract • E,g acting dishonestly	4
	 A single incident is unlikely to justify summary dismissal unless gross dishonesty / a serious crime e,g Pepper v Webb 1969 	
4	 Responses should include Identification of any two of the following: Name of employer and employee Date on which employment began/ date of continuous employment 	2
	Details of pay	



	a Marking hours	
	Working hours	
	Holiday Cradit is given for other relevant everyles.	
	Credit is given for other relevant examples	
5	Responses should include the following	3
	Subjected to a detriment	
	Because he has enforced	
	Or tried to enforce his or someone else's right not to be	
	discriminated against	
6	Responses should include the identification of any three of the	3
	following	
	Any three rights e, g	
	 Not to be unfairly dismissed after 2 years CE (no right otherwise) 	
	Right to notice periods	
	After two years continuous employment right to be compensated	
	if made redundant (no right otherwise)	
	Right the minimum wage for their age range	
	Credit is given for other relevant examples e.g. Maternity leave	
7	Responses should include the identification of any three of the following:	3
	e.g.	
	Occupational requirement	
	lower wages under NMW Act	
	older workers higher redundancy payments	
	organised religion	
	retirement ages (e.g., army, pilots etc)	
	remement ages (e.g., army, photo etc)	
	Credit is given for other relevant examples	
8	Responses should include the Identification of the following:	4
	• FT	7
	Within 3 months	
	And	
	County Court or High Court Within Court	
	within 6 years Responses should include the identification and evaluation of any two	<u> </u>
9	Responses should include the identification and explanation of any two	4
	of the following:	
	fixed term contract:	
	contract ends on fixed date	
	or	
	 redundancy, e.g. organisational changes or lack of business need 	
	notice, eg. correct notice / no PILON	
	Credit is given for other relevant examples	
10	Credit is given for other relevant examples	4
10	One week	1
	Section A Total: 30 marks	



Section B - Scenario 1

Question	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks
Number		(Max)
1	Responses should include and explanation of the following:	8
	Potential reason one	
	Capability	
	There is an implied duty to adapt to new technology	
	He has had training on the new system	
	And the opportunity for further online training	
	He has refused to undertake the training	
	He is incapable of performing his duties as a complaint's handler	
	Potential reason two	
	Misconduct / gross misconduct	
	 There is an implied duty to obey all reasonable and lawful 	
	orders	
	George has breached this duty	
	He was rude to customers and	
	Did not deal with the telephone complaint	
2	Responses should include an explanation of the following points	7
	Relates to the procedure that an employer must follow	
	 when investigating a misconduct case. 	
	 Karen must establish that she has a genuine belief in George's guilt. 	
	 She must have reasonable grounds for that belief. 	
	 Karen's belief is based on the conversation with the customer. 	
	 She must have carried out as much investigation as is reasonable. 	
	Here, Karen should have spoken to George and checked the	
	telephone recording system	
	Reasoned discussion and conclusion	
	E.g. Karen has not complied with these requirements.	
3	Responses should include an explanation of the following	8
	Josh would have a potential claim for	
	direct discrimination	
	 under s.13 of the Equality Act 2010. 	
	 on grounds of sex which is a protected characteristic 	
	 under s.10. It requires that an employee is not subject to less 	
	favourable treatment	
	 Here, the dress code requires men to tie long hair back but not women 	
	 This issue was discussed in the case of Smith v Safeway plc (1996) 	



	which found that it was lawful to apply different specific rules to man and warmen.	
	men and women;	
	for example, in terms of tying long hair back, required the appearance of the draws and a great action.	
	 provided the overall impact of the dress code on each sex is similar. 	
	This does not amount to sex discrimination.	
4(a)	Responses should include an explanation of the following	10
4(a)	Responses should include an explanation of the following	10
	s.19 of the Equality Act 2010	
	 for indirect discrimination on the grounds of sex 	
	This is a protected characteristic under s.10.	
	 Indirect discrimination arises where the employer applies a 	
	provision, criteria or practice (PCP)	
	 That puts a particular group at a disadvantage. 	
	 here, this would be the decision to introduce a compulsory 	
	nightshift	
	It would be women, who are the group who tend to be primary	
	carers.	
	The PCP puts Lydia at a disadvantage.	
	 Lydia cannot do the night shift because she is a single mum with 	
	childcare issues.	
	 Finally, the employee must show that the PCP cannot be shown 	
	to be a proportionate means of achieving a legitimate aim.	
	 There is no indication of a defence on these facts 	
	Lydia would have a potential claim under	
4(b)	Responses should include an identification of and explanation of the	7
1(0)	following	•
	Remedies for discrimination claims are set out under S.124	
	Equality Act 2010.	
	These include	
	a recommendation	
	 that Kempston Insurance takes a particular course of action. 	
	a declaration	
	 of Lydia's rights in relation to this matter 	
	• compensation	
	 for injury to Lydia's feelings 	
	based on the Vento guidelines	
	credit for discussion of the bands	
	Question 4 Total: 1	17 marks
	Scenario Total: 40 marks	
L		



Section B - Scenario 2

Question	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks
Number		(Max)
1(a)	 applying the Multiple test: She is told what to wear by Adam. t-shirt and red trousers must provide a personal service Frank was not allowed to cover her shift has worked there for three years; is subject to the company's disciplinary procedures. She is given a written warning E.g. Ready Mixed concrete 	9
1(b)	 Reasoned conclusion Responses should include an identification of and explanation of the following: She works on a causal basis No indication of a written contract Although this is not conclusive Submits invoices Responsible for own TAX and NI Pays for her own uniform Which she can deduct the cost of from her Tax and NI Thought her brother could cover her shift Reasoned conclusion 	7
	Question 1 Total: 1	l 6 marks
2	Responses should include an identification of and explanation of the following: Harry is employed as a driver Harry as Harry has been disqualified from driving. This means Harry can no longer drive / lacks the capability to do his work Adam may also consider the potentially fair reason of illegality. In order to use illegality as a reason to dismiss Adam would need to show that the illegality directly affected Harry's ability to do the work he was employed to do kl, Harry is also a prop maker so he could still be employed in this capacity. e.g. Appleyard v FM Smith (Hull) Ltd (1972), Taylor v Alidair Ltd (1978)	10



3(a)	Responses should include an identification of and explanation of the	10
	following:	
	Claim 1	
	direct discrimination	
	• s.13 Equality Act 2010.	
	 This would be on the grounds of his sexual orientation 	
	• s.12 Equality Act 2010.	
	Jason has been dismissed	
	which means he has suffered less favourable treatment.	
	Claim 2	
	• s.26 Equality Act 2010.	
	Harassment	
	 unwanted conduct that violates a person's dignity by creating an intimidating and hostile working environment. 	
	The comments from the other staff members such as 'people'	
	like you' or 'not right to work with children'	
	 could be considered as harassment 	
	 Therefore the academy would again be liable. 	
3(b)	Responses should include an identification of and explanation of the	4
	following:	
	1. The burden of proof in a discrimination claim is on the claimant	
	to prove a prima facie case.	
	2. The burden then shifts to the employer	
	3. who must then establish that discrimination did not take place	
	4. S.136 Equality Act 2010.	
	Question 2 Total: 1	14 marks
	Scenario Total: 40 marks	

Section B - Scenario 3

Question Number	Suggested Points for Responses	Marks (Max)
1(a)	 Responses should include and explanation of the following A summary dismissal occurs where the employer dismisses the employee immediately usually for gross misconduct e.g fighting in the workplace. The employer is entitled to dismiss if a fundamental breach has occurred The dismissal must be justified or it could lead to a claim for wrongful dismissal 	5
1(b)	Responses should include and explanation of the following employer's conduct forces employee to resign employer has repudiated the contract	5



	breach must go to the root of the contract	
	e.g harassment, demotion, humiliation	
	 it can be a series of events /'last straw' situation 	
	(Western Excavating Ltd v Sharp [1978])	
	Question 1 Total:1	0 mark
2(a)	Responses should include and explanation of the following	4
	The duty of trust and confidence is an implied term	
	 contained in all contracts of employment 	
	 Sally must not do anything to damage the working relationship 	
	e.g Ogilvie v Neyrfor-Weir Ltd (2003)	
2(b)	Responses should include and explanation of the following:	6
	She has fundamentally breached this duty by	
	Cancelling Donald's holiday	
	Humiliating Donald in front of patients	
	Called him an old fool	
	 telling him to retire / P45 	
	One-off comment is sufficient to bring an action	
	Morrow v Safeway Stores plc [2002]	
2(c)	Responses should include and explanation of the following	3
	He will need to show it is impossible for him to continue	
	working there	
	He has walked out as a direct response to Sally's actions	
	Conclusion – likely to be successful	
	Question 2 Total: 1	.3 marl
3(a)	Responses should include and explanation of the following	7
	Direct discrimination.	
	He would need to show that he has been treated less favourably	
	due to a protected characteristic	
	• Age	
	 person belonging to a particular age group/age range 	
	s.5 Equality Act 2010	
	she has cancelled his time off to allow younger midwives time	
	, -	
	off	
3(b)	off likely to succeed	10
3(b)	off	10
3(b)	off likely to succeed	10
3(b)	off likely to succeed Responses should include and explanation of the following	10
3(b)	off	10
3(b)	off Iikely to succeed Responses should include and explanation of the following Harassment S26 Unwanted conduct relating to a protected characteristic	10
3(b)	off	10
3(b)	off • likely to succeed Responses should include and explanation of the following • Harassment • S26 • Unwanted conduct relating to a protected characteristic • age that violates dignity	10



- The circumstances
- If reasonable for the conduct to have that effect on Donald
- She has created a humiliating work environment by
- Making comments about his age
- Suggesting he should retire/ He can collect is p45
- All done in front of patients
- E.g. Insitu Cleaning Co Ltd v Heads (1995)
- Likely to succeed

Question 3 Total: 17 marks

Scenario Total: 40 marks

